

Concerned Residents of Santa Barbara County
Name
Address
Date

Santa Barbara County
Public Health Department
300 N. San Antonio Road
Santa Barbara, CA 93110

Attention: Charity Dean MD, MPH
Health officer, SBC PB Dept.

Takashi Wada MD, MPH
Director, SBC PB Dept.

RE: **MEDICAL EXEMPTION PILOT PROJECT**
Letter of June 6, 2016 issued to School
Superintendents, Principals and Child Care
Center Directors

NOTICE OF POSSIBLE CIVIL AND CRIMINAL ACTION

Be advised that I have reviewed your letter of June 6, 2016, issued to School Superintendents, Principals and Child Care Center Directors and I want to make it clear to you that what you propose to do, to review doctor's medical exemption letters to see if they comply with SB 277 is outside of your authority to do and not supported by the text of SB 277.

The text of SB 277 clearly states the following:

Section 5, subsection 120370.

*“(a) If the parent or guardian files with the governing authority a **written statement by a licensed physician** to the effect that the physical condition of the child is such, or medical circumstances relating to the child are such, that immunization is not considered safe, indicating the specific nature and probable duration of the medical condition or circumstances, **including, but not limited to, family medical history, for which the physician does not recommend immunization, that child shall be exempt from the requirements of Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 120325, but excluding Section 120380) and Sections 120400, 120405, 120410, and 120415 to the extent indicated by the physician’s statement.**”*

Nowhere in the remaining text of SB 277 does it state that any governing department and/or agency, local, county, state or federal, is required, authorized or even allowed to review (comprehensive or even minimal) and comment on doctors' decision to issue a vaccine exemption, regardless of their reasons for doing so. Your letter is a coercive letter intended to intimidate physicians into not issuing exemptions at all, not because they may be invalid, but by fear of placing their medical practice in jeopardy and subject to harassment by any of the involved individuals in your letter and any others.

Indeed, even Senators Pan and Allen, the main sponsors of the bill, specifically stated in the SB 277 legislative sessions that it was not their intent, nor would the language of the bill in any way interfere with a physician's professional opinion as to whether a vaccine exemption should be issued, FOR ANY REASON THEY DEEMED APPROPRIATE. The language above clearly states that the specific nature of the exemption **IS NOT LIMITED TO** the examples given in the text of SB 277, section 5. THEREFORE, **who are you to make any judgments as to what constitutes proper "CRITERIA" to meet the mandates of SB 277?** See the following video on the SB 277 legislative discussions where Pan and Allen make this clear:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pemEvM3uO6c>.

You are acting outside of the authority of SB 277, and therefore outside of your jurisdictional boundaries. Therefore where you note in your letter that your "purpose is to collect and analyze data, identify any Medical Exemption **not meeting SB 277 criteria**," is not only outside of your authority, but it is not called for nor authorized in SB 277, nor do you have the personal medical expertise to make such determinations, you being ignorant of any individual person's autoimmune system status, medical history, etc., compared to the exemption issuing physician that has (1) full knowledge of his patient's physical, mental and immunological state, and who (2) have seen first hand what certain vaccines can do to susceptible individuals, from children to adults.

Further, your claim that this is done to "provide helpful information to physicians issuing such exemptions," results in nothing less than coercion and duress for the affected physician, to force them to not issue vaccine exemptions, even when their professional opinion tells them they should. This will not be tolerated.

NOTICE OF LEGAL ACTION, CIVIL AND CRIMINAL

Your proposed and existing actions, if you insist in pursuing the Medical Exemption Pilot Project noted in your letter above, **are effectively resulting in your agency/organization forcing parents to vaccinate children against their will** (through the recipients of your letter and physicians who might not issue valid vaccines exemptions out of fear), all in violation of well-established federal and state protected constitutional rights.

Further, it is a violation of your oath of office, and this places you in a position to be sued as a “Private Person” for violation of the above, as well as a violation of Civil Rights, a violation of a person’s right to education, and it is further an international crime under the Nuremberg Code to force people to be vaccinated against their will , which your actions would make you a part of. To wit,

The US Constitution’s First Amendment states:

“Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof.”

The US Constitution Fourteenth Amendment states:

“No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.”

The California Constitution, Article 1, section 4 states:

“Free exercise and enjoyment of religion without discrimination or preference are guaranteed.”

The California Constitution, Article 1, section 1 states:

“ALL PEOPLE ARE BY NATURE FREE AND INDEPENDENT AND HAVE INALIENABLE RIGHTS. AMONG THESE ARE ENJOYING AND DEFENDING LIFE AND LIBERTY, ACQUIRING, POSSESSING, AND PROTECTING PROPERTY, AND PURSUING AND OBTAINING SAFETY, HAPPINESS, AND PRIVACY.”

The California Constitution, Article 9, section 1 states:

“A general diffusion of knowledge and intelligence being essential to the preservation of the rights and liberties of the people, the Legislature shall encourage by all suitable means the promotion of intellectual, scientific, moral, and agricultural improvement.”

The California Constitution, Article 9, section 5 states:

“The Legislature shall provide for a system of common schools by which a free school shall be kept up and supported in each district at least six months in every year, after the first year in which a school has been established.”

California Constitution, Article 1, section 7 states:

“A person may not be deprived of life, liberty, or property without due process of law or denied equal protection of the laws.”

California Constitution, Article 20, section 3 states:

“Members of the Legislature, and all public officers and employees, executive, legislative, and judicial, except such inferior officers and employees as may be by law exempted, shall, before they enter upon the duties of their respective offices, take and subscribe the following oath or affirmation:

*“I, _____, do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution of the State of California against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that **I will bear true faith and allegiance to the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution of the State of California**; that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion; and that **I will well and faithfully discharge the duties upon which I am about to enter.**”*

Finally, the Nuremberg Code and Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights UNESCO prohibit forced medication, including vaccination. Article 6, section 1 states:

“Any preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic medical intervention is only to be carried out with the prior, free and informed consent of the person concerned, based on adequate information. The consent should, where appropriate, be express and may be withdrawn by the person concerned at any time and for any reason without disadvantage or prejudice.”

There is ample evidence that conclusively proves that vaccines are unsafe and regardless of anything that the CA Congress legislates and Governor Brown signs and puts on a signing statement, all students and natural born human beings have a Natural and Common Law right to (1) exercise their personal beliefs in determining if the risk of being vaccinated is worth risking all the potential side effects, including death, that the vaccines package inserts from the manufacturers themselves note are possible; and students and all persons also have a right to (2) exercise their religious belief in determining if being vaccinated violates their covenant with God.

Keep in mind as well that Governor Brown, in his signing statement of September 30, 2012, related to AB 2109, noted and instructed the Dept. of Public Health, under which your are under, to allow parents to use their “religious beliefs” exemption **at any time** to avoid forced vaccination. In his signing statement of June 30, 2015, related to SB 277,

he **did not remove a parent's right to use the religious belief exemption**, and therefore your department/agency should still allow it. See

[http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/Emergency Religious Belief Exemption -
_Anonymous Notice.pdf](http://www.cafepeyote.com/files/Emergency_Religious_Belief_Exemption_-_Anonymous_Notice.pdf).

You are hereby warned that you are not authorized by law or your oath of office to proceed with your planned project, and that proceeding in such a way will make each one of you individually, and others you involve in your plans, liable for lawsuits and criminal action as noted above as "PRIVATE PERSONS", which I and all people against forced mandatory vaccines will not hesitate to bring against you.

Respectfully,

Your Name

cc: Attorney