ROBERT F. KENNEDY JR. / ALAN DERSHOWITZ DEBATE

ON THE GOVERNMENT'S RIGHT TO FORCE VACCINATION ON ANYONE AND EVERYONE

https://tinyurl.com/Kennedy-Dershowitz-Debate-2020

LETTER FROM PASTOR RICARDO BEAS TO BOBBY AND ALAN

Dear Bobby and Alan,

Thank you for presenting your views on the vaccine issue in your debate of July 23, 2020. I want to share my opinion, acknowledging that I am against forced vaccination. I want to start with Alan's closing statements, which summarized the underlying issues and differences of opinion between you, hoping that my comments will help in this extremely important debate, directly related to our present COVID-19 situation.

Alan, our country is not a democracy, it is, or is supposed to be, a republic, where each one of us is a majority of one as to our God-given, constitutionally-reflected rights; but I understand what you meant, that in our country's constitutional structure we allow our Supreme Court to have the last word in determining a controversy, as only a court can declare what the law is.¹ From that standpoint, I agree with you and you are correct: if we accept the *Jacobson* decision as legal precedent in any modern-day vaccine court decision, like the SB 277 challenge in *Whitlow v. California*, 203 F.Supp.3d 1079 (2016), *Jacobson* does declare that in the case of Mr. Jacobson, the greater good of the community is above his and any person's individual rights, that any such individual threatening the community needs executive power restraint by way of fines if necessary, noting on one side of the scale of justice the supremacy of one's will and on the other the need to conserve the safety of the community under the pressure of great dangers to such a community, and thus the court ruled in the state's favor.

Where I disagree with you is as regards your statement of the government's authority to "plunge a needle into your arm." Considering you are only giving Jacobson as precedent, that decision was never based on the government's authority to force vaccination one way or the other. The options were: you get the vaccine, or you pay a fine; and not, you take the vaccine, or we will give it to you anyway.

You stated that we "have no constitutional right to endanger the public." I agree with your statement as a general statement, but you are not taking into consideration four things that apply here:

- (1) If we have no disease, we are not endangering the public. In this COVID-19 world, we are being considered infected until proven not infectious to the public Guilty Until Proven Innocent! You tell us what constitutional rights are violated under those circumstances.
- (2) Anyone that has a flu or similar disease can pass it to another immunocompromised individual and cause them serious harm or even death. No one can really stop the spread, like they never stop the spread of the flu, with millions infected every year.² And even in the case of death, it was not a purposeful act, but an act of God, if you will;

¹ See Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. 137, where it states, "It is emphatically the province and duty of the judicial department to say what the law is."

² According to the CDC, we presently have a total of about **3.6 million COVID-19 reported positive cases**. Also, according to the CDC as regards the 2018-2019 flu season, we had 35.5 million persons with symptomatic illness, 16.5 million medical visits and 490,561 hospitalizations. *In the 2017-2018 flu season it was worse: 45 million symptomatic illnesses, 21 million medical visits and 810,000 hospitalizations*. See https://tinyurl.com/US-Influenza-Season-2011-2019.

- (3) Our rights don't come from the U.S. Constitution; the Constitution simply reflects and is supposed to secure our God-given, Natural, and Common Law rights; and
- (4) Litigated properly, any present-day court case can be distinguishable from the *Jacobson* case. As noted by Wikipedia in its definition of the words "Distinguish" and "Precedent":

"A precedent is a principle or rule established in a previous legal case that is either binding on **or persuasive** for a court or other tribunal when deciding subsequent cases with similar issues or facts ... **In law, to distinguish a case means a court decides the legal reasoning of a precedent case will not wholly apply due to materially different facts between the two cases."**

The Court in *Jacobson* did decide that public safety is above the individual by ruling against Mr. Jacobson (*Jacobson* did not mention God, but I will come to that below). The question is, did the court in *Jacobson* rely on actual, uncorrupted/falsified and/or proven data that showed that the involved vaccine would be helpful to such "public safety", or did such vaccine (1) not work to control outbreaks, (2) was actually bad for Jacobson and/or his son's health, and/or (3) did not have any supposed benefits that outweighed the risks; and further, (4) did health authorities make unproven claims of vaccine safety and if so, was it done accidentally, incorrectly or were they puppets of a similar vaccine industry, like the one we have today, all of them convicted criminals, as Bobby referenced in your debate? The *Jacobson* court does provide alleged accurate medical and scientific data on vaccine efficiency from several encyclopedias and other sources.³

This was what Bobby proved in your debate, that not only is the "acceptable orthodox science" wrong, but that those that control that "science," from pharmaceutical giants to universities, alleged non-profit medical associations and think tanks, governmental medical agencies and medical organizations like Kaiser Permanente, that all these groups are united and focused on corrupt activities, bought and paid for by Big Vaxx Pharma.

The chicanery behind this universally-applauded benefit of vaccines is easily proven in four observations: If vaccines work, (1) Why do you need others to take them to protect you? (2) Why are vaccines never tested against true saline placebos? ⁴ (3) Why do the vaccinated catch diseases for which they have been vaccinated, including the mandated booster shots? And (4) Why does Big Pharma, the CDC and Dr. Fauci refuse to do a study comparing children that are vaccinated against those that are unvaccinated to compare health outcomes, which concerning data is readily available in the CDC's indirectly-controlled Vaccine Safety Datalink database? ⁵

Bobby, as well as other advocates against forced vaccination worldwide now have overwhelming evidence of the vaccine fraud,⁶ and those behind that fraud are the same persons behind the farce known as the COVID-19 pandemic, an apparent "Live Exercise" years in the planning by, among others, Dr. Fauci and the other corporate agents who are board members of the WHO and Bill Gates-controlled **Global Preparedness Monitoring Board**,⁷ such live exercise explained by U.S. Secretary of State Mike Pompeo's recent public statement to that effect.⁸

³ See Jacobson v. Massachusetts, at https://tinyurl.com/Jacobson-Supreme-Court-Case.

⁴ See my Vaccine Injury flowcharts, *Analysis of Vaccine Safety Claims*, showing, among other things, that vaccines have never been tested against true saline placebos, at https://tinyurl.com/Vaccine-Flowchart-Summary.

⁵ See 13 facts related to the dangers of vaccines, at https://tinyurl.com/13-Vaccine-Facts.

⁶ See ample evidence of Big Pharma's fraud and corruption related to vaccines, at https://tinyurl.com/Vaccine-Safety-Facts.

⁷ See report on this Board of which Fauci is a part, at https://tinyurl.com/Fauci-GPM-Board.

⁸ See Pompeo's statement calling the so-called COVID-19 Pandemic a "Live Exercise," at https://tinyurl.com/Pompeo-COIV-19-Exercise.

While in 1905, in the times of *Jacobson*, we did not have the access to information about the actions of government representatives or of corporate activities as we have today, including the ability to do FOIA requests, data already existed then about the dangers of vaccines and governmental agency corruption. One need only read the 1920's book "Horrors of Vaccination Exposed and Illustrated" by Charles Higgins, which includes statements of manipulation of death certificates by a department of vital statistics to hide vaccine injury, similar to what we see today with COVID-19, except that now they are doing the opposite, taking misleadingly-defined "probable" cases and reporting them as "actual" cases, as noted by Collin County, Texas health authorities in a recent meeting, saying that the new required method of reporting turns 1 COVID-19 case into 17 cases, which would explained the supposed spike in cases even though we are now in warmer weather, when viruses like the influenza virus tend to decline. The same type of deceptive practices are being used by our health authorities to inflate the COVID-19 death rates.

Today we are dealing with the same enemy of Mankind, but with new corporate and organizational names, as well as the same type of government health agencies promoting false claims of vaccine safety, involved in such fraud against the American People, and trying to make vaccines mandatory, regardless of an individual's health condition and history, or his/her constitutionally-protected personal, conscience and/or religious beliefs and rights. See the following excerpts from *Horrors of Vaccination* from 1920.

PETITION TO THE PRESIDENT

minal disease of lockjaw, paralysis, meningitis, or pneumonia only as the sole and original cause of death without any record of the inflicted disease—vaccination—as the primary or contributory cause of the death. This evil practice is, of course, a gross falsification of our vital statistics, and is now a frequent offense by some of our vaccinating doctors, as I can legally prove by documentary evidence when required.

ABOLISH ALL COMPULSORY VACCINATION IN ARMY AND NAVY AND APPOINT DOCTORS OF VARIOUS SCHOOLS ON ALL MEDICAL AND HEALTH BOARDS

In the same way this dogmatic and dominant part or sect of the medical profession, organized in powerful medical societies and now controlling important public offices, has forced the dangerous practice of general compulsory vaccination upon our Army and Navy, and this practice is, I believe, largely the result of having only one school of medicine—the vaccine school—represented on our Medical Boards and in our Departments of Health and Vital Statistics. To thus have only one school of medicine represented on our Medical Boards of Army and Navy is, I submit, as great a mistake, as unjust, absurd, and un-American, as it would be to have only one school of Religion represented in our Army and Navy chaplains. For, surely, medical tolerance and freedom is as important for national welfare

-

^[11]

⁹ See introduction section of the book "Horrors of Vaccination," at https://tinyurl.com/Horrors-of-Vaccination-Intro.

¹⁰ See the Collin County meeting video and the PowerPoint used therein in the following links: https://tinyurl.com/Collin-Intro.

¹⁰ See the Collin County meeting video and the PowerPoint used therein in the following links: https://tinyurl.com/Collin-County-COVID19-Cases and https://tinyurl.com/Collin-County-COVID19-Cases-PP.

¹¹ See the press conference from Dr. Ngozi O. Ezike, Director of the Illinois Department of Public Health, speaking of what is their definition of "people dying of COVID" and therefore how they are reported, where she notes that "if you were IN HOSPICE and had already been given a few weeks to live, and then you also were found to have COVID, THAT WOULD BE COUNTED AS A COVID DEATH," at https://tinyurl.com/IllinoisIDPH-COVID-Death-Count. See also the CDC Guidance for certifying deaths due to Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19), at https://tinyurl.com/COVID-19-CDC-Death-Reporting, as well as the comments by Dr. Deborah Birx, Coronavirus Response Coordinator for the White House Coronavirus Task Force, noting how while other countries are being realistic in documenting deaths based on the "actual cause of death," in the United States we are doing the opposite: We are coding them all as a COVID-19 death, simply because that person either tested positive for COVID-19 or was "assumed to have COVID-19". See Dr. Birx stating this, minute 2:44, at https://tinyurl.com/CoVID-Cases-Full. We can add to the mix how some tests kits have been proven to only be 50% accurate and in at least one case, even infected with COVID-19, at https://tinyurl.com/COVID-19-Test-Kit-Contaminated.

Alan, everything that Fauci and federal, state and county officials have told us about why we need to lock down due to COVID-19 has now been proven to be incorrect by the CDC itself and other agencies, from the lies about the number of people who would die, to how contagious it was, what effective treatments to use, how ventilators would be the best course of action, how long the virus lasts on surfaces, the need to constantly disinfect, the use of N-95 masks or any facial coverings, the need to keep children out of school, how much distance is really required to avoid any spread, having people stay at home as opposed to going out, and that a person's own body can't create natural short term, long term or lifetime immunity to COVID-19, as has always been the case with all viruses and bacteria that the body encounters when a person is healthy, which is the result of true natural immunity.

Despite the irrefutable evidence against the lockdown measures, which they admit, our health authorities continue promoting the lockdown and masks, and radio and television news reports remind us of that, every 5 minutes. If there ever was any God-given, constitutionally-reflected, violation of human (sic, Children of God's) rights, it is this *undeclared de facto Martial Law* lockdown we are under, destroying our economy and people's health and wealth, which is many times worse than the alleged COVID-19 virus and its over-estimated victims.

Taking Precedent Beyond Jacobson. Going back to constitutional law and *Jacobson*, while this Supreme Court case does not mention any relationship between a person's "supremacy" over any government and his/her God-given rights that reflects such supremacy, this is implied, the U.S. Constitution having as controlling precedent the Declaration of Independence, which notes that all men "are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights." The question is, where does the Supreme Court ultimately get its authority to decide the law as it affects the rights of natural-born men and women, as opposed to government-created fictitious entities? Is it from the U.S. Constitution? In the Western World, the Catholic Church (hereinafter the Church) will tell us that these rights come from the Church, the intermediary between God and His Children.

Note: If we consider that in any corporate structure, a subdivision of a corporation is under the control and complete authority of the corporation that created it, the same can be applied as to the Church's authority over American, and therefore over its governments, their constitutions, and the corporations created under their authority. When European countries invaded America, such invasion was declared lawful, as noted in the Supreme Court case that declared that such European nations had "Discovery Rights" to possess and control such lands. See *Johnson vs. McIntoch*, 21 U.S. 543 (1823), noting that the *Principle of Discovery* gave European Nations an Absolute Right to New World lands.

Being that these European countries came to America to conquer under the supposed authority of Church-issued Papal Bulls that claimed to give them such right and authority, such as the *Inter Caetera* Papa Bull, issued by Pope Alexander VI on May 4th, 1493, then the Church does appear to be that superior authority. This reminds us that American Jurisprudence and English Common Law are based on Christian/biblical principles.

This alleged Church authority is outlined in Pope Boniface VIII's "Unam Sanctam Bull," promulgated on November 18, 1302,¹³ which claims that our rights don't come from God, but come from the Catholic Church, who is God's administrator on earth. The *Unam Sanctam* Bull reads in part:

1. The Catholic Church has spiritual and temporal power over what we call planet Earth.

¹² See my correspondence with U.S. Attorney Robert S. Brewer Jr., Southern District of California, regarding my formal complaint against the San Diego County COVID-19 lockdown order, at https://tinyurl.com/RBeas-Reply-Brewer-Lockdown.

¹³ See my documentary, "History of the World: The Earth's Religious and Political Power Structures, Then and Now," at https://tinyurl.com/RBeas-History-of-the-World-1.

- 2. The power of the Church over the material world is to be administered BY the Church.
- 3. That Kings and soldiers who **are allowed to be second-level administrators of the temporal, material, earthly realm**, are UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF THE CHURCH, "the temporal authority, subjected to spiritual power."
- 4. "Spiritual power surpasses in dignity and in nobility any temporal power whatever, as spiritual things surpass the temporal."
- 5. "It belongs to spiritual power (the Church) to establish the terrestrial power and to pass judgment if it has not been good."
- 6. **The authority of the Church is not human, but rather divine**, and whoever resists this power thus ordained by God, resists the ordinance of God.¹⁴

If we were to believe Pope Boniface VIII, then he is surely claiming that he, his successors, and the Catholic Church are administering what we can call "The Global Trust Estate of Planet Earth" on behalf of God. Where would this church authority be coming from? According to the Church, from Jesus Christ, who gave it to his follower and apostle, Peter, who supposedly founded the Catholic Church, who is said to represent Jesus and who the Catholic Church claims to represent. Because Christ's authority comes from God, and God's Law and authority are superior, then we must refer to God's written law. This means that the ultimate written authority and law in the Western World comes from The Holy Bible, the covenant between God and Mankind, His Children.

We can go further back in history and state that the Catholic Church claimed its godly authority over the world by implying that it took God's authority away from Babylonian King Hammurabi, who put in writing the first written law, and therefore, created the ultimate binding legal precedent from antiquity, not only the first written law in general, but the first written law saying and/or implying that ...

Above all terrestrial kings, there is a God whose authority they must follow and obey – all for the benefit, health and happiness of His Children. Failure of these Kings/Presidents and/or the Church and the corporations under it to do so would forfeit their authority to represent God, and therefore, WE WOULD NOT BE BOUND BY THEIR LAWS, THEIR LAWS BEING DE FACTO FRAUDULENT LAWS, CONTRARY TO GOD'S LAW.¹⁵

Hammurabi claimed that his authority was given to him by the god of the whole universe, Anu the Sublime, the lord of heaven and earth ...

"... To give justice a status in the country to destroy the wicked and bad, that the strong should not overcome the weak ... To illuminate the land and to further the welfare of humanity ... The king whom the four regions of the world obey ... sent to rule mankind to impart judicial protection to the country ... TO ESTABLICH RIGHT, JUSTICE AND HAPPINESS AMONG THE PEOPLE." 16

Being that the Church and Hammurabi claimed that they represent the supreme god of the universe, then the above applies to the alleged authority of both, as well as their limitations.

¹⁴ See my letter to Pope Francis, circa 2016, requesting that he put an immediate stop to the global mandatory vaccine program around the world, at http://tinyurl.com/Letter-To-Pope-RB.

¹⁵ See Pope Francis' Apostolic letter, *Motu Proprio*, "On the Jurisdiction of Judicial Authorities of Vatican City State in Criminal Matters," dated July 11, 2013, at https://tinyurl.com/Pope-Francis-Motu-Proprio.

¹⁶ See Cornell University Library's version of Hammurabi's Code, at https://tinyurl.com/Hammurabi-Code.

Justice and equality can be, by obvious natural, moral and fair reasoning, summarized in the principles reflected by the Ten Commandments. If we apply these principles to the issue of vaccines and the lies about vaccines and their true dangers, and how Big Pharma is planning on vaccinating the world – over and over again in the future without restraint and liability – then we can easily conclude that the ultimate right of each human being, more specifically, every Child of God, is in favor of a person's right to never be forced to be vaccinated against their will due to the possible and often resulting side effects from such vaccination(s).¹⁷

Alan, you started your debate by saying that,

"If there is a safe, **and that's crucially important**, effective measure that could significantly reduce the contagious impact of a deadly disease ... that the state would have the power to either directly compel vaccination or condition ... other things" (such as not allowing children to attend school due to lack of vaccination).

As I think I have proven here, the government cannot compel forced vaccination, nor can it condition the right to any activity engaged in by those who oppose arbitrarily forced vaccination legislation, which does not take into account the potential harm to any particular individual and his/her right to decide if the benefit of vaccination outweighs the risk of vaccine injury and to act according to his/her conscience, what we call Freedom of Medical Choice.

Bobby and Alan, together you can be a formidable force to expose and stop the global mandatory vaccine agenda, which is at the root of everything that humanity is being forced to endure at this time via COVID-19. This is not any regular war against some nation. As Saint Paul wrote, "For we wrestle not against flesh and blood, but against principalities, against powers, against the rulers of the darkness of this world, against spiritual wickedness in high places." ¹⁸

To close, we need both of you on the same team, not to argue if the *Jacobson* court ruled correctly, based on what was presented to it by the lower courts and the case's litigants, but to prove that *Jacobson* is no longer applicable to any vaccine challenge, that courts can't use it to rule against us because the evidence is now clear of the dangers of vaccines and what the vaccine industry has done to hide it in a fraudulent manner, including corrupting mainstream media, government officials, and I dare to say, our courts. In other words: The meticulous work that Bobby and other activists have done prove that vaccines are, in fact, **endangering the community's health**, which is what the court in *Jacobson* was supposedly trying to protect.

May God inspire both of you, give you the courage to confront adversity for the greater good, and help you help us win this war for the ultimate freedom owed to Mankind, the freedom to decide what medical treatments we deem appropriate for us and those in our care. Thank you both for all your efforts in helping stop the destruction of our country under false pretenses.

Respectfully submitted,

Ricardo Beas

Pastor Natural Law Church of Health and Healing RicardoBeasV@hotmail.com

¹⁷ See *Vaxxed II: The People's Truth*, in particular the story of triplets that became victims of such vaccination, suffering serious injury, one after the other, within hours after vaccination, at https://tinyurl.com/Vaxxed-II-Triplets.

¹⁸ See Ephesians 6:12.