

## VACCINE FIGHT - WHAT ARE THE BEST ALTERNATIVES NOW?

At least when it comes to California and New York, we have seen that our attempts to convince legislators to vote in our favor has not worked, getting worse all the time, in California from AB 2109 to SB277, SB 792, SB 276/714, initial promotion of mandatory vaccines for adults, and now I believe the possible requirement for the HPV vaccine for daycare in NY.

These legislators are simply too controlled by BigVaxxPharma interests, all bought with the force of corruption, greed, personal gain, blackmail, and a threat to their future political success, all of them having been provided documentation of all the truth related to the dangers of vaccines by our activists, who have tried in vain so far to win this battle, and which information has been ignored. To be clear, if any legislator that promised he would vote in our favor on SB 276 "supposedly" changed his mind because of the Austin Bennett push of Pan or our activists' actions in the hearings and around the capital, then he is a traitor to our cause, as the harm of vaccines and the need to stop the mandatory vaccine agenda outweighs anything any of us can do – no matter what!

The following are the alternatives we have now, and all of them have merit, but some more than others and some can be synergistic in combination. I will include my thoughts on each as it pertains to CA:

- **Demonstrations:** such as those planned by the Freedom Angels and other groups
- **Legislation:** Submitting legislation in our favor to remove part or all the effects of SB 276 and SB 277
- **Referendum:** SB 276
- **Recall:** Pan and/or Newsom
- **Initiative:** to Defeat SB 276/714, SB 277 and SB 792
- **Lawsuits:** Against SB 276, SB 277, and/or Against BigVaxxPharma
- **Other Strategies**

**Demonstrations.** It cannot be denied that everything that has transpired in our movement because of SB 276, from Austin Bennett pushing Pan to the Freedom Angeles standing in chairs in the last SB 276 Assembly meeting, thousands of activists appearing in the CA capitol filling their halls, chanting demands for action in our favor, mothers chaining themselves to doors has all brought our movement not only full attention of the press and the world (regardless of being perceived to be positive or negative, or both), but have also attracted many more neutral parties to our cause. This effort should continue as time, effort and money allows each person to do.

As a note, we have a very strong alliance with the NY movement, who also stormed the legislators' offices the same day we did in CA and that I believe are going to do it again on the same day CA legislators return to office from their interim recess.

**Legislation.** Because of what I pointed out above, I believe that it is almost impossible for us to gain any significant benefit from pushing new legislation, using lobbyists and the like, that will counter any of the main effects of SB 276, not to mention to return us our personal and religious right not to vaccinate. Remember, even before SB 276, doctors were already avoiding issuing

any medical exemptions. Unless SB 276/714 are overturned in their totality, in particular removing anything that threatens a medical license and practice, doctors will continue to not issue medical exemptions, regardless. By the way, I would consider this doctor refusal to issue medical exemptions to be patient abandonment, neglect, and endangerment, resulting in malpractice, for which we can submit complaints to the Medical Board of CA and can also file personal lawsuits against.

Although I believe in the legislative effort by our organizations who focus on this path and I support them, I do not believe that in our case they will have any significant success unless something pushes the legislators to be "forced" to change their vote in our favor. If no such threat is presented, even if we start getting changes in our favor in future legislation a step at a time, this could take years, and the way things are looking now (just read about all media attacks preparing to shut us down and all legislations that are being promoted nationwide), legislative action will become null and voided by federal legislation that will enforce mandatory vaccination; it is just on the horizon.

**Referendum of SB 276/SB 714 and Gov. Newsom Recall.** The Freedom Angels, Denise Marie, Tara and Heidi, filed a referendum on SB 276 on Sept 10, 2019, one day after Gov. Newsom allowed SB 276/SB 714 to take effect, not by a signing statement as Gov. Brown did for AB 2109 and SB 277, instead, he just allowed it to go forward without comment.

See Gov. Newsom Legislative Update <https://www.gov.ca.gov/2019/09/09/governor-newsom-issues-legislative-update-9-9-19/>.

See Freedom Angels referendum at <https://www.oag.ca.gov/system/files/initiatives/pdfs/19-0014%20%28Referendum%20of%20SB%20276%29.pdf>.

SB 276 was so extreme and dangerous to our compromised children, that in our minds we never thought that it would pass, as it was just too evil (5 exemptions max, doctors signing under perjury), and even less the way it would be railroaded into place. In a sense, we were caught by surprise, spurring the Freedom Angels and our activists' impromptu revolt and possibly the filing of the referendum to maintain the door open for such action.

In their Facebook page, the Angels made a post on September 11 advising of their referendum and they asked their followers to comment on whether they should proceed. Based on the pros and cons they presented, the overwhelming response was not to pursue the recall, with posts from activists that were involved in the SB 277 referendum and the first Pan recall, who told stories of fraud by the authorities at the county and state levels.

The Angels noted as a disadvantage that such statewide effort would require approximately 875,000 signatures, at an expense of \$3 to \$5 million dollars for a collection of signatures, and once in the ballot another \$10M. They also noted that if they lost, especially if it got on the ballot and it was not supported, that this could be used against us, especially after a massive BigVaxxPharma publicity attack, to convince the general public that we are wrong and are also a danger to our communities, and that politicians as a result would not consider any future requests for legislative relief of any kind in the vaccine debate. See the Angels' post of September 11, at <https://www.facebook.com/FreedomAngelsFoundation/>.

The Newsom recall would also require a similar statewide effort in signatures and expenditures. The only difference is that even if the Newsom recall was successful, it would not be viewed as a win for our movement, but as a rejection of his overall policies. This would bring us no direct benefit in the view of the public. There are two Newsom recalls on record, and one is based on mismanagement and the other for tax increases, homelessness, etc. See [https://ballotpedia.org/Gavin\\_Newsom\\_recall,\\_Governor\\_of\\_California\\_\(2019\)](https://ballotpedia.org/Gavin_Newsom_recall,_Governor_of_California_(2019)).

In the case of the SB 276 referendum, I would agree with the majority's comments, it would be a waste of resources considering other alternatives. For the same reason and others noted above, I would also not be in favor of the Newsom recall.

As to the issue of an SB 276 referendum failing and the public's and legislator's perception of our movement being tipped against us, I will leave that for my Pan recall comments below.

**The Pan Recall.** This recall apparently has not been supported by most of our activists because it was Bennett (and 76 others) filing it, Bennett being considered toxic to many in our movement because of his knee-jerk reaction after confronting Pan with his lies and Pan sarcastically ignoring him, laughing as if he was untouchable, proven to the contrary by Bennett. I do not justify what he did, and he even said, "I probably shouldn't have done that" afterward, but had it been me, with my children's health at play, I would have at least thought of and would have had the urge of slapping him in the back of the head, and not as much to change his corrupted mind, but to let him know that he has gone too far. See the video of the encounter and put yourself in Bennett's place, while you remember all of Pan's lies to the legislature in all his appearances defending all his bills, at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=83t0uwjYllc>.

In a sense, Bennett's reaction happened within seconds. It could be said that the Angels' actions were planned in advance, from standing in the chairs with the inverted flag (#NationInDistress), to the storming of the capitol with chains on hand, and could, therefore, be considered to be premeditated; but regardless, in both cases, I believe good intentions as to advancing our cause was in the mind of all those involved, and even better, it brought attention to our cause on a national and international basis, and as Tara described in a recent interview in NY, it brought out more people out of the shadows to support us, including more men, whose support is urgently needed. See Denise Marie's post of Sept 18 at 11:19 AM, at <https://www.facebook.com/profile.php?id=100004629017551> .

Contrary to Newsom's recall, the Pan recall is directly related to his policies to force vaccination upon our children, so success on the Pan recall would make it clear that people are against Pan's unconstitutional vaccination policy extremes related to SB 277 and SB 276. The problem is, even when we defeat one bill, legislators bring it back in the next session, that is why to win this war we don't need to defeat any given bill, we need to scare and threaten the politicians so that pro-mandatory vaccination bills will never again be introduced. We need to tame the lion because it is ready to eat us. I don't need to name all their hidden agendas, it's too frightening.

Another advantage of the Pan recall as compared to the Newsom recall and the SB 276 referendum, is that Pan's recall would be limited to Pan's 6th District, made up of approximately

712K constituents and it requires only 61K signatures, 6% of the signatures required for the SB 276 referendum and the Newsom recall, in a manageable district perimeter.

In my experience, where I meet people collecting ballot measure signatures is usually at a Walmart, trying to get my attention going in or getting out. Imagine two activists in each designated location, one collecting signatures as customers leave the store and one giving out small fliers to customers on the way in to read as they shop and pay, a flyer or business card-size handout, reading something like this:

## **FACTS ABOUT VACCINES**

1. Big Pharma refuses to do vaccinated vs. unvaccinated studies.
2. In pre-licensing studies, vaccines are only compared to other vaccines or toxins, not with true saline inert placebos.
3. Pre-licensing studies are monitored for short periods of time, like Gardasil – 14 days, Hepatitis B – 5 days, Hib – 48 hours.
4. No vaccine is tested for carcinogenicity or effects on fertility.
5. Vaccines contain healthy aborted fetus tissue and DNA, from the heart, lungs, kidney, skin and tongue, and the new vaccines will require the fetus to be harvested alive.
6. Big Pharma and the medical establishment have full immunity from all liability related to vaccine injury.
7. Vaccines contain toxic substances, including, mercury, aluminum, glyphosate, GMO's, animal blood, etc.
8. Doctors get paid \$400 when children 0 to 2 years old get all the required vaccines, and most of their income comes from vaccination-focused "well visits," as well as from managing all the many chronic illnesses caused by these vaccines. See Baby's Checkup Schedule as pertains to vaccination, at <https://www.thebump.com/a/new-baby-doctor-visit-checklist>.
9. In the only study where Big Pharma used a true placebo group, they combined the placebo group that had no negative reactions with a group that had aluminum and other toxins from the Gardasil vaccine that did suffer chronic illnesses to hide the placebo results.
10. Senator Richard Pan sponsored bills to force vaccination on all school-age children, regardless of medical condition and prior vaccine reactions, as demonstrated by his bills, AB 2109, SB 277, and SB 276/SB 714, and he is coming against adults. See Senate Resolutions SR 58, "*Relative to Immunizations*", at [https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill\\_id=201920200SR58](https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=201920200SR58).
11. There are thousands of cases of vaccine injuries and deaths happening soon after vaccination that our health authorities, the medical establishment, and our doctors who

witnessed such injury, refuse to admit were caused by their vaccines, some given 6 or more at a time.

12. From birth to the end of high school, our children are expected to receive +72 vaccines, and there are more than 100 in the pipeline that will be added to the schedule.

I would think that anyone reading such information would gladly sign such a petition. As a note, Bennett has removed himself from the Pan recall allowing others to manage it. Now, some could say, I support a recall but not if Bennett is involved, but why would we wait to do a new one later, let's take advantage of this one – after all, it is already filed and organized, but they need the manpower support, and we have until February 3, 2020 to collect those signatures.

See <https://www.panrecall2.org/>. For the filing of the recall, see <https://www.sos.ca.gov/elections/recalls/current-recall-efforts/>.

Senator Pan is the poster child of the national legislative movement to remove all personal, religious and even medical exemptions. I believe that if we were to be successful in such a recall, that this would terrify spineless legislators that have voted against us, making them more open to supporting any future bills in our favor.

As to our organizations involved with legislators to achieve our goal, which must be the full elimination of the vaccination mandate, I would not see a problem with them publicly not being involved in a Pan recall, but individually each one of their members should encourage their followers to support and help with the recall. As Bennett said in so many words in a recent live feed, why would we just go against SB 276, or SB 277, if we can go against the author that will continue to promote future legislation to remove our rights, until we all are forcefully vaccinated if he is not removed from office? Pan is the poster boy – he must be our main target.

I have heard from some activists and some involved lobbyists that supporting Pan's recall will help Pan increase his war chest, and also that if we lose, that then we will be stuck with mandatory vaccination. I do not find merit in such assertions, for the following reasons:

If the recall will assist Pan in collecting more funds that would become available to him to fight the recall, how does that change anything? He is doing just fine, advancing his agenda further every day with his existing financial backing; thus, it could not get worse. On the other hand, as a lobbyist working with some activists said, **"Legislators care about themselves and keeping their jobs first."** A recall would not only get Pan out of office, it would likely end his political ambitions and career, which we know is well-deserved, and it would be an excellent deterrent for other politicians.

If the recall fails, all we would be stuck with would be the status quo, all these bills still in place, and as above, it could not get worse.

Doctors are receiving letters from Pan asking them to support him to defend against his recall. Maybe this would be the opportunity for physicians in our movement in a unified voice to confront Pan with his anti-health policies, the destruction of the doctor-patient relationship, and the like.

The Angels noted the following pros as to the SB 276 referendum: Educating the Public, Bringing More Light to the Issue, and overturning SB 276 and SB 714. These are excellent goals and I would take it a step further,

Now that our movement is in the view of the whole public, even if we are viewed as disease-spreading misinformed “nutjobs,” as described by Skeptical Raptor, if we get the recall in the ballot and in the 6th district’s voting information guide we note the facts about vaccines as described above, with relevant links, we will ensure exposure to the truth to the whole of Pan’s 6th district. And what would happen if we do the same statewide? That takes me to the following point. Once recalled, our legislative-focused organizations can submit new bills to defeat all the existing bills.

Therefore, I believe the Pan Recall is essential to our success and I encourage others to get everyone involved. Time is of the essence.

**Ballot Initiative.** In the case of the Angels’ SB 276 referendum, it was done as an alternative, to secure such an option, but with no real financial support to carry it forward within such a limited amount of time to gain support.

A ballot initiative can be started, preferably after a successful Pan recall, when we feel ready to proceed with sufficient manpower and financial backing. And in this instance, our written ballot book explanation of why we are against forced vaccination (forget about prohibiting vaccination – let those that want to be vaccinated do so), would be exposed to the whole of the California voting population. Which now takes me to the final point, lawsuits.

**Lawsuits: Against SB 277/SB 276 and/or against BigVaxxPharma.** While a referendum against SB 276 would affect the issuance of medical exemptions, a lawsuit against SB 276 and SB 277 is still an option and preferred. Unfortunately, SB 277 challenges have been unsuccessful for multiple reasons, and a new challenge would take years to litigate, and although I would support such lawsuits, the best lawsuit would be one against the manufacturers and all those that form part of that conspiracy, including Offit, Plotkin and Reiss, and it would be great if it can include a higher up in the CDC, even Dr. William Thompson (if he was in our favor, he would have quit his job and testified about his and the CDC’s fraud). Yet, how can we sue them if the 1986 National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act (NCVIA) protects them? Simple: prove Fraud. See my presentation at Loma Linda University, at <https://youtu.be/aTGRebMRuek?t=515>.

We have been advised by Bobby Kennedy that lawsuits are coming against this corrupt vaccine industry. This is beyond the direct control of each of us individually, except that we can support such lawsuits, which is why I encourage everyone to do so by donating to his organization, the Children’s Health Defense, at <https://childrenshealthdefense.org/about-us/donate/>.

There are other things that we can do individually:

- Continue writing articles on your personal websites and Facebook groups exposing the vaccine fraud.

- Writing supporting comments to counter pro-vaccine published articles, so long as public comments are allowed and published for others to see. If they are not allowed, it might be a waste of time trying to convince the authors when their agenda is to destroy us.

- A new idea I saw was painting your vehicle and turning it into a moving billboard. Imagine something like that on coordinated dates.

See <https://www.facebook.com/vaxxed2/videos/476319999631898/UzpfSTE1OTYwMjE4MzA6Vks6OTkwODQyMDU3OTYxNDgz/>.

- Surprise/Flash Educational Campaigns (not protests, which may be illegal) in public places and events, like those in Disneyland, Comic-Con, and in other states by activist moms, such as the ones by Jaclyn Gallion, recently interviewed by Del Bigtree.

See <https://youtu.be/MyNc4N8tcRg?t=2670>.

- **DONATIONS:** It is important that all activists, especially those on the sidelines (but much appreciated) set aside a monthly amount (like \$50) to help one or more efforts and lawsuits which you deem will help our cause. You can register on their websites to donate automatically monthly, which will make it easier to commit to helping our movement in a significant way. Please be generous, as everyone's life and liberty are on the line.

**Vote the Enemy Out of Office.** No need to comment.

In this fight against tyranny and the assault on our bodily independence, we all have something to offer, each one of us in our own way, within our means. This is not the time to determine what is better and to discourage other positive efforts, but to support all efforts that help us achieve our ultimate goal – True Criminal Justice and the abolition of mandatory vaccine laws fought against by other activists like us as far back as the 1800s.

As to my personal efforts, because circumstances have allowed me to avoid the school vaccine mandate against my three young children (10, 13, and 16), I have deferred to others their attempts to bring lawsuits against SB 277, our primary legislative enemy, and to try to convince legislators to vote in our favor. Instead, I have attempted and exhausted all other available legal remedies I have found, from my children's school and district, through all involved state and federal agencies, up to and including HHS, DOJ, and President Trump, even submitting my Vaccine Notice of Liability, the InPower procedure, and a letter to the Pope, to no avail, thus far – and I will continue to look for other alternatives. I hope you do the same.

Let us keep our eye on our target and support all our various efforts – until we win – with the wellbeing of God's Children in mind. It is nothing less than an effort to save humanity from the Luciferian Cult. It is, after all, the ultimate battle between Good vs. Evil!

With Love,

Pastor Ricardo Beas

[www.TheNaturalLawChurch.com](http://www.TheNaturalLawChurch.com)